## Week4 monday

Recap so far: In DFA, the only memory available is in the states. Automata can only "remember" finitely far in the past and finitely much information, because they can have only finitely many states. If a computation path of a DFA visits the same state more than once, the machine can't tell the difference between the first time and future times it visits this state. Thus, if a DFA accepts one long string, then it must accept (infinitely) many similar strings.

Definition A positive integer $p$ is a pumping length of a language $L$ over $\Sigma$ means that, for each string $s \in \Sigma^{*}$, if $|s| \geq p$ and $s \in L$, then there are strings $x, y, z$ such that

$$
s=x y z
$$

and

$$
|y|>0, \quad \text { for each } i \geq 0, x y^{i} z \in L, \quad \text { and } \quad|x y| \leq p
$$

Negation: A positive integer $p$ is not a pumping length of a language $L$ over $\Sigma$ iff

$$
\exists s\left(|s| \geq p \wedge s \in L \wedge \forall x \forall y \forall z\left((s=x y z \wedge|y|>0 \wedge|x y| \leq p) \rightarrow \exists i\left(i \geq 0 \wedge x y^{i} z \notin L\right)\right)\right)
$$

Informally:
Restating Pumping Lemma: If $L$ is a regular language, then it has a pumping length.
Contrapositive: If $L$ has no pumping length, then it is nonregular.

The Pumping Lemma cannot be used to prove that a language is regular. The Pumping Lemma can be used to prove that a language is not regular.

Extra practice: Exercise 1.49 in the book.

Proof strategy: To prove that a language $L$ is not regular,

- Consider an arbitrary positive integer $p$
- Prove that $p$ is not a pumping length for $L$
- Conclude that $L$ does not have any pumping length, and therefore it is not regular.

Example: $\Sigma=\{0,1\}, L=\left\{0^{n} 1^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$.
Fix $p$ an arbitrary positive integer. List strings that are in $L$ and have length greater than or equal to $p$ :

Pick $s=$
Suppose $s=x y z$ with $|x y| \leq p$ and $|y|>0$.


Then when $i=$

$$
, x y^{i} z=
$$

Example: $\Sigma=\{0,1\}, L=\left\{w w^{\mathcal{R}} \mid w \in\{0,1\}^{*}\right\}$. Remember that the reverse of a string $w$ is denoted $w^{\mathcal{R}}$ and means to write $w$ in the opposite order, if $w=w_{1} \cdots w_{n}$ then $w^{\mathcal{R}}=w_{n} \cdots w_{1}$. Note: $\varepsilon^{\mathcal{R}}=\varepsilon$.

Fix $p$ an arbitrary positive integer. List strings that are in $L$ and have length greater than or equal to $p$ :

Pick $s=$
Suppose $s=x y z$ with $|x y| \leq p$ and $|y|>0$.
$\square$

Then when $i=\quad, x y^{i} z=$

Example: $\Sigma=\{0,1\}, L=\left\{0^{j} 1^{k} \mid j \geq k \geq 0\right\}$.
Fix $p$ an arbitrary positive integer. List strings that are in $L$ and have length greater than or equal to $p$ :

Pick $s=$
Suppose $s=x y z$ with $|x y| \leq p$ and $|y|>0$.


Then when $i=\quad, x y^{i} z=$

Example: $\Sigma=\{0,1\}, L=\left\{0^{n} 1^{m} 0^{n} \mid m, n \geq 0\right\}$.
Fix $p$ an arbitrary positive integer. List strings that are in $L$ and have length greater than or equal to $p$ :

Pick $s=$
Suppose $s=x y z$ with $|x y| \leq p$ and $|y|>0$.
$\square$

Then when $i=\quad, x y^{i} z=$

| Language | $s \in L$ | $s \notin L$ | Is the language regular or nonregular? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid 0 \leq n \leq 5\right\}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left\{b^{n} a^{n} \mid n \geq 2\right\}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left\{a^{m} b^{n} \mid 0 \leq m \leq n\right\}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left\{a^{m} b^{n} \mid m \geq n+3, n \geq 0\right\}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left\{b^{m} a^{n} \mid m \geq 1, n \geq 3\right\}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left\{w \in\{a, b\}^{*} \mid w=w^{\mathcal{R}}\right\}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left\{w w^{\mathcal{R}} \mid w \in\{a, b\}^{*}\right\}$ |  |  |  |

## Week3 friday

Definition and Theorem: For an alphabet $\Sigma$, a language $L$ over $\Sigma$ is called regular exactly when $L$ is recognized by some DFA, which happens exactly when $L$ is recognized by some NFA, and happens exactly when $L$ is described by some regular expression

We saw that: The class of regular languages is closed under complementation, union, intersection, set-wise concatenation, and Kleene star.

Prove or Disprove: There is some alphabet $\Sigma$ for which there is some language recognized by an NFA but not by any DFA.

Prove or Disprove: There is some alphabet $\Sigma$ for which there is some finite language not described by any regular expression over $\Sigma$.

Prove or Disprove: If a language is recognized by an NFA then the complement of this language is not recognized by any DFA.

Fix alphabet $\Sigma$. Is every language $L$ over $\Sigma$ regular?

| Set | Cardinality |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\{0,1\}$ |  |
| $\{0,1\}^{*}$ |  |
| $\mathcal{P}(\{0,1\})$ |  |
| The set of all languages over $\{0,1\}$ |  |
| The set of all regular expressions over $\{0,1\}$ |  |
| The set of all regular languages over $\{0,1\}$ |  |

Strategy: Find an invariant property that is true of all regular languages. When analyzing a given language, if the invariant is not true about it, then the language is not regular.

Pumping Lemma (Sipser Theorem 1.70): If $A$ is a regular language, then there is a number $p$ (a pumping length) where, if $s$ is any string in $A$ of length at least $p$, then $s$ may be divided into three pieces, $s=x y z$ such that

- $|y|>0$
- for each $i \geq 0, x y^{i} z \in A$
- $|x y| \leq p$.


## Proof illustration

True or False: A pumping length for $A=\{0,1\}^{*}$ is $p=5$.

