Computational Problems, Mapping Reduction

CSE 105 Week 8 Discussion

Deadlines and Logistics

- Test 2 next week (week 9)
- Do review quizzes on PrairieLearn
- HW 6 due 12/3/24 at 5pm (week 10)
- Project due 12/11/24 11am (final week)

Multiple descriptions

Describing Turing machines (Sipser p. 185) To define a Turing machine, we could give a

- Formal definition: the 7-tuple of parameters including set of states, input alphabet, tape alphabet, transition function, start state, accept state, and reject state; or, $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}})$
- Implementation-level definition: English prose that describes the Turing machine head movements relative to contents of tape, and conditions for accepting / rejecting based on those contents.
- **High-level description**: description of algorithm (precise sequence of instructions), without implementation details of machine. As part of this description, can "call" and run another TM as a subroutine.

Multiple descriptions

Describing Turing machines (Sipser p. 185) To define a Turing machine, we could give a

- Formal definition: the 7-tuple of parameters including set of states, input alphabet, tape alphabet, transition function, start state, accept state, and reject state; or, $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}})$
- Implementation-level definition: English prose that describes the Turing machine head movements relative to contents of tape, and conditions for accepting / rejecting based on those contents.
- **High-level description**: description of algorithm (precise sequence of instructions), without implementation details of machine. As part of this description, can "call" and run another TM as a subroutine.

Properties of languages

- 1. Regular
 - a. Recognized by a DFA/NFA
 - b. Described by a regex

2. Context free

- a. Recognized by a PDA
- b. Generated by a CFG
- 3. (Turing) Decidable
 - a. Can be decided by a Tm
- 4. (Turing) Recognizable
 - a. Can be recognized by a Tm



Algorithm computation

Church-Turing Thesis

Anything that is **computable** is computable with a **Turing machine** because any method of computation using finite time and finite resources will be **equally expressive** to that of a Turing machine.

Vocabulary check

- 1. Are all decidable languages recognizable?
- 2. If language A is recognizable and language B is decidable, is |A| > |B|
- 3. If M is a Turing machine, what is <M>?

Representations of algorithms

To decide these problems, we need to represent the objects of interest as **strings**

To define TM M:

"On input w ... 1. .. 2. .. 3. ... we have to **encode the object** (represent it as a string) first

Notation:
<O> is the string that represents (encodes) the object O
<O₁, ..., O_n> is the single string that represents the list of objects O₁, ..., O_n

Turing Decidable Languages

Recap : Turing decidable languages are closed under complementation

Turing Decidable Languages - Recap

- 1. If a language is decidable if and only if it is co-recognizable and recognizable.
- 2. If two languages over a fixed alphabet are turing-decidable, then their union is decidable as well
- 3. If two languages over a fixed alphabet are turing-recognizable, then their union is recognizable as well

Computational Problems

Computational problems

Acceptance problem			
for DFA for NFA for regular expressions for CFG for PDA	$egin{array}{l} A_{DFA} \ A_{NFA} \ A_{REX} \ A_{CFG} \ A_{PDA} \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \{ \langle B, w \rangle \mid B \text{ is a DFA that accepts input string } w \} \\ \{ \langle B, w \rangle \mid B \text{ is a NFA that accepts input string } w \} \\ \{ \langle R, w \rangle \mid R \text{ is a regular expression that generates input string } w \} \\ \{ \langle G, w \rangle \mid G \text{ is a context-free grammar that generates input string } w \} \\ \{ \langle B, w \rangle \mid B \text{ is a PDA that accepts input string } w \} \end{array} $	
Language emptiness testing			
for DFA for NFA for regular expressions for CFG for PDA	$E_{DFA} \ E_{NFA} \ E_{REX} \ E_{CFG} \ E_{PDA}$	$ \{ \langle A \rangle \mid A \text{ is a DFA and } L(A) = \emptyset \} $ $ \{ \langle A \rangle \mid A \text{ is a NFA and } L(A) = \emptyset \} $ $ \{ \langle R \rangle \mid R \text{ is a regular expression and } L(R) = \emptyset \} $ $ \{ \langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ is a context-free grammar and } L(G) = \emptyset \} $ $ \{ \langle A \rangle \mid A \text{ is a PDA and } L(A) = \emptyset \} $	
Language equality testing			
for DFA for NFA for regular expressions for CFG for PDA	EQ_{DFA} EQ_{NFA} EQ_{REX} EQ_{CFG} EQ_{PDA}	$ \{ \langle A, B \rangle \mid A \text{ and } B \text{ are DFAs and } L(A) = L(B) \} $ $ \{ \langle A, B \rangle \mid A \text{ and } B \text{ are NFAs and } L(A) = L(B) \} $ $ \{ \langle R, R' \rangle \mid R \text{ and } R' \text{ are regular expressions and } L(R) = L(R') \} $ $ \{ \langle G, G' \rangle \mid G \text{ and } G' \text{ are CFGs and } L(G) = L(G') \} $ $ \{ \langle A, B \rangle \mid A \text{ and } B \text{ are PDAs and } L(A) = L(B) \} $	

Computational problems for Turing machines

Acceptance proble	m			
for Turing machines	A_{TM}	$\{\langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine that accepts input string } w\}$		
Language emptiness testing				
for Turing machines	E_{TM}	$\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine and } L(M) = \emptyset\}$		
Language equality testing				
for Turing machines	EQ_{TM}	$\{\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid M_1 \text{ and } M_2 \text{ are Turing machines and } L(M_1) = L(M_2)\}$		
0				

What is A_{TM} ?

- A. A Turing machine whose input is codes of TMs and strings.
- B. A set of pairs of TMs and strings.
- C. A set of strings that encode TMs and strings.
- D. Not well defined.
- E. I don't know.

${\sf A}_{\rm TM}$ is recognizable but undecidable

A_{TM} is Turing recognizable

- \circ $\,$ We can define a Turing machine that recognizes ${\rm A}_{_{\rm TM}}$
- A_{TM} is **not** Turing decidable
 - Proof by contradiction (diagonalization proof)

Define the TM N = "On input <M,w>:

- 1. Simulate M on w.
- 2. If M accepts, accept. If M rejects, reject."

Which of the following statements is true?

- A. N decides A_{TM}
- C. N always halts
- E. I don't know

- B. N recognizes A_{TM}
- D. More than one of the above.

${\sf A}_{{\sf TM}}$ is recognizable but undecidable

- A_{TM} is Turing recognizable
 - We can define a Turing machine that recognizes A_{TM}
- A_{TM} is **not** Turing decidable
 - Proof by contradiction (diagonalization proof)

Proof: Suppose towards a contradiction that there is a Turing machine that decides A_{TM} . We call this presumed machine M_{ATM} .

Define a **new** Turing machine using the high-level description:

D = "On input $\langle M \rangle$, where M is a Turing machine:

1. Run M_{ATM} on $\langle M, \langle M \rangle \rangle$.

2. If M_{ATM} accepts, reject; if M_{ATM} rejects, accept."

What is the result of the computation of D on $\langle D \rangle$?

${\rm A}_{\rm TM}$ is recognizable but undecidable

- A_{TM} is recognizable.
- A_{TM} is not decidable.
- $\overline{A_{TM}}$ is not recognizable.
- $\overline{A_{TM}}$ is not decidable.

${\sf A}_{{\sf TM}}$ is recognizable but undecidable

- A_{TM} is recognizable.
- A_{TM} is not decidable.
- $\overline{A_{TM}}$ is not recognizable.
- $\overline{A_{TM}}$ is not decidable.

- A language being decidable means "we can say both yes and no answers about string membership in a language in finite time."
- A language being recognizable means "we can say yes about string membership in a language in finite time."
- Anytime we can prove that a set is <u>undecidable</u> yet <u>recognizable</u>, its <u>complement</u> will be <u>unrecognizable</u>.

Closure claims

RQ8.5. Closure and nonclosure

Recall the definitions: A language L over an alphabet Σ is called **recognizable** if there is some Turing machine M such that L = L(M). A language L over an alphabet Σ is called **co-recognizable** if its complement, defined as $\Sigma^* \setminus L = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid x \notin L\}$, is Turing-recognizable. A language L over an alphabet Σ is called {\bf unrecognizable} if there is no Turing machine that recognizes it.

Select all and only true statements below.

- The class of unrecognizable languages is closed under complementation.
- The class of recognizable languages is closed under complementation.
- The class of decidable languages is closed under complementation.
- The class of undecidable languages is closed under complementation.

Mapping Reduction

Motivation

- We want to leverage our previous results of language properties
- Thus, we want to relate the "difficulty level" of one problem to another

If problem X is no harder than problem Y ...and if Y is **decidable** ...then X must also be **decidable**

If problem X is no harder than problem Y ...and if X is **undecidable** ...then Y must also be **undecidable**

"Problem X is no harder than problem Y" means "Can convert questions about membership in X to questions about membership in Y"

Mapping reduction & computable functions

Definition: A is mapping reducible to B means there is a computable function $f: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ such that for all strings x in Σ^* ,

 $x \in A$ if and only if $f(x) \in B$.

Notation: when A is mapping reducible to B, we write $A \leq_m B$.

Intuition: $A \leq_m B$ means A is no harder than B, i.e. that the level of difficulty of A is less than or equal the level of difficulty of B. "Can convert questions about membership in A to questions about membership in B"

Computable functions

Definition: A function $f: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ is a **computable function** means there is some Turing machine such that, for each x, on input x the Turing machine halts with exactly f(x) followed by all blanks on the tape

Computable functions example

Computable functions

Definition: A function $f: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ is a **computable function** means there is some Turing machine such that, for each x, on input x the Turing machine halts with exactly f(x) followed by all blanks on the tape

Define a Turing machine that computes the following function:

The function that maps strings that are not the codes of NFAs to the empty string and that maps strings that code NFAs to the code of a DFA that recognizes the language recognized by the NFA produced by the macro-state construction from Chapter 1.

"No harder than"?

Which of the following statements are true?

- A. $\{0^i1^j \mid i,j \ge 0\}$ is no harder than A_{TM}
- B. A_{TM} is no harder than itself
- C. A_{DFA} is no harder than {ww | w is a string over {0,1}}
- D. EQ_{DFA} is no harder than A_{DFA}
- E. All of the above

Mapping reduction practice

RQ8.10. Properties of mapping reductions

Recall that **mapping reduction** is defined in section 5.3: For languages A and B over Σ , we say that the problem A mapping reduces to B means there is a computable function $f: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ such that for all $x \in \Sigma^*$, $x \in A$ iff $f(x) \in B$. A computable function that makes the iff true is said to witness the mapping reduction from A to B.

Select all and only the true statements below.

- \Box For all languages A and B, if A mapping reduces to B then B mapping reduces to A.
- Every language mapping reduces to its complement.
- $\Box \Sigma^*$ mapping reduces to every nonempty language over Σ .
- Every decidable language mapping reduces to \emptyset .