Closure Claim Review, Turing Machines

CSE 105 Week 6 Discussion

Deadlines and Logistics

- Congratulations on completing Test 1
- Do review quizzes on [PrairieLearn](http://us.prairielearn.com/)
- HW 4 due 11/12/24 at 5pm

Closure claims for regular and context-free languages

Closure claim summary

Week 5 notes, Pg 7

● DFA flip accept/reject status of the states

- DFA "parallel computation"
- NFA construction

FIGURE 1.46 Construction of an NFA N to recognize $A_1 \cup A_2$

● DFA "parallel computation"

● NFA construction

Sipser Figure 1.48, Pg 61

● NFA construction

FIGURE 1.50 Construction of N to recognize A^\ast

- CFG $5 \rightarrow >1$ 52
- PDA construction

Week 5 notes, Pg 4

- \bullet CFG $\searrow \rightarrow \searrow \searrow$
- PDA construction, similar to the NFA idea but need "stack clean-up" (HW4)

Week 5 notes, Pg 5

- CFG
- $G_1 = (V_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$

 $S \notin V_1$
 $G = (V_1 \cup \{S\}, \Sigma, R, S)$ $R: R_1 \cup \{S \rightarrow SS_1 | E\}$

The class of context-free languages over Σ is closed under intersection. FALSE

Idea (informal):

● Counterexample:

Over the alphabet $\{a, b, c\}$, we have languages

 $A = \{a^n b^n c^m \mid m, n \geq 0\}$ $B = \{a^m b^n c^n \mid m, n \geq 0\}$

Both A and B are context-free languages. However,

$$
A \cap B = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 0\}
$$

is not context-free (which can be proved by using the pumping lemma for context-free languages)

The class of context-free languages over Σ is closed under complementation. FALSE

Idea (informal):

● De Morgan's Law & proof by contradiction:

Assume context-free languages are closed under complementation. Consider context-free languages A and B. Then \overline{A} and \overline{B} should also be context-free. Since context-free languages are closed under union, we further get $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ should also be context-free. Then $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ should also be context-free. By De Morgan's Law,

$$
A\cap B=\overline{\overline{A}\cup \overline{B}}
$$

, thus we conclude that $A \cap B$ is context-free. However, we proved that context-free languages are not closed under intersection, so here we arrive at a contradiction. Therefore context-free languages are not closed under complementation.

Turing Machines

Turing machines

- Uses an infinite tape as its **unlimited memory**
- Has a tape head that can read and write symbols and move around on tape
- A Turing machine may loop on some input

Conventions

- State diagram edge labels meaning and abbreviations
	- \circ \flat \rightarrow X, R means "read b from tape, write X onto tape, and move tape head Right"
	- \circ you can either use ; or \rightarrow in edge labels
	- \circ b \rightarrow R means b \rightarrow b, R
	- \circ a, b, c \rightarrow L means a \rightarrow a, L and b \rightarrow b, L and c \rightarrow c, L
- You can either use ⊔ or **□** for blank symbol
- The reject state qrej might not appear in the state diagram, and any missing transitions in the state diagram have value (qrej, \Box , R).
- If the tape head is already at the leftmost position on the tape, and a transition says to move Left, we do the transition and stay at the leftmost position

Conventions

Week 5 notes, Pg 10

Turing machine computation

Now we give the formal description of $M_2 = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_1, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}})$:

- $Q = \{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}}\},\$
- $\Sigma = \{0\}$, and
- $\bullet \Gamma = \{0, x, \cup\}.$
- We describe δ with a state diagram (see Figure 3.8).
- The start, accept, and reject states are q_1 , q_{accept} , and q_{reject} , respectively.

FIGURE 3.8 State diagram for Turing machine M_2

Turing machine computation

Sipser Pg 171-172

Describing Turing Machines

Describing Turing machines (Sipser p. 185) To define a Turing machine, we could give a

- Formal definition: the 7-tuple of parameters including set of states, input alphabet, tape alphabet, transition function, start state, accept state, and reject state; or,
- Implementation-level definition: English prose that describes the Turing machine head movements relative to contents of tape, and conditions for accepting / rejecting based on those contents.
- High-level description: description of algorithm (precise sequence of instructions), without implementation details of machine. As part of this description, can "call" and run another TM as a subroutine.

Implementation-level description

Here we describe a Turing machine (TM) M_2 that decides $A = \{0^{2^n} | n \ge 0\}$, the language consisting of all strings of 0s whose length is a power of 2.

 $M_2 =$ "On input string w:

- **1.** Sweep left to right across the tape, crossing off every other 0.
- 2. If in stage 1 the tape contained a single 0, *accept*.
- 3. If in stage 1 the tape contained more than a single 0 and the number of 0s was odd, reject.
- 4. Return the head to the left-hand end of the tape.
- 5. Go to stage $1.$ "

High-level description

RQ6.7. Turing machines: subroutines and deciders

Suppose M_1 and M_2 are Turing machines. Consider the Turing machines given by the high-level descriptions:

 $M =$ "On input w ,

1. Run M_1 on input w. If M_1 accepts w, accept. If M_1 rejects w, go to 2. 2. Run M_2 on input w. If M_2 accepts w, accept. If M_2 rejects w, reject."

 M' = "On input w ,

1. Run M_1 on input w. If M_1 rejects w, reject. If M_1 accepts w, go to 2. 2. Run M_2 on input w. If M_2 rejects w, reject. If M_2 accepts w, accept."

For each of the following claims, answer **Always true** if the statement is true for all possible M_1 and M_2 ; answer **Always false** if the statement is false for all possible M_1 and M_2 ; and answer Neither otherwise.

If M_1 and M_2 are both deciders then M is a decider. \checkmark If $w \in L(M_1)$ then $w \in L(M)$. \checkmark If $w \in L(M_2)$ then $w \in L(M)$. \checkmark If $w \notin L(M_1)$ then $w \notin L(M)$. \checkmark If $w \notin L(M_2)$ then $w \notin L(M)$. \checkmark If M_1 and M_2 are both deciders then M' is a decider. \checkmark If $w \in L(M_1)$ then $w \in L(M')$. \checkmark If $w \in L(M_2)$ then $w \in L(M')$. \checkmark If $w \notin L(M_1)$ then $w \notin L(M')$. \checkmark If $w \notin L(M_2)$ then $w \notin L(M')$. \checkmark

Turing-recognizable and Turing-decidable

- **Deciders** are Turing machines that halt on all inputs; they never loop; they \bullet always make a decision to accept or reject
- Call a language Turing-recognizable if some Turing machine recognizes it
- Call a language Turing-decidable if some decider decides it

